

MEMORANDUM

TO: Distribution

RECOMMENDED BY: Ali Logmanni, Manager
GIS & Engineering Technology Section

REVIEWED BY: Simon Taylor, Deputy Chief Engineer
Division of Planning and Engineering

APPROVED BY: Paul Shank, PE, C.M., Chief Engineer
Division of Planning and Engineering

DATE: October 17, 2017

SUBJECT: Design Standards Supplement DST-17-04
Appendix I, GIS Data Standards
Chapter 8: Quality Assurance and Control of GIS Data

Ali Logmanni
S.R.H. Taylor
Paul Shank

Effective immediately, the following modifications shall be made to the 2017 Design Standards Manual:

1. Appendix I: GIS Data Standards
Insert new Chapter 8, Quality Assurance and Control of GIS Data.

Consultants listed herein are required to distribute this design standard to their respective subconsultants.

If you believe the attached document conflict with any other codes or regulations, or if you should have any questions regarding this matter, please contact the Manager, GIS and Engineering Technology Section, at 410-859-7768.

Distribution:

Mr. Shawn Ames (MAA)	Mr. Steve Lucchesi (ADCI)
Mr. Charlie Baublitz (MAA)	Ms. Koren Melfi (JMT)
Mr. Sagar Bethu (AECOM)	Ms. Niki Miller (JMT)
Ms. Robin Bowie (MAA)	Ms. Sarah Munroe (Baker)
Mr. Austin Brown (ADCI)	Mr. Allan A'Hara (AECOM)
Mr. Mark Butterfield (JMT)	Mr. Alex Ollerman (MAA)
Mr. Ned Carey (MAA)	Mr. David Orellana (Mimar)
Mr. Peter Charles (MAA)	Mr. Alan Peljovich (ADCI)
Mr. Pat Corcoran (MAA)	Mr. Wayne Pennell (MAA)
Mr. Woody Cullum (MAA)	Mr. Pete Petersen (MAA)
Ms. Linda Dangerfield (MAA)	Mr. Al Pollard (MAA)
Ms. Alexa De-Crispino (Brudis)	Mr. Brian Reidy (MAA)
Mr. William (Bill) DeSanti (ED3)	Ms. Keiva Rodriques (Hill)
Mr. Randy Dickinson (MAA)	Ms. Ellen Sample (MAA)
Mr. Sean Eames (MAA)	Ms. Mary Scheuermann (WSP)
Ms. Donna Flaherty(JMT)	Mr. Paul Shank (MAA)
Mr. Peter Florian (WSP)	Mr. Syed Shariq (MAA)
Mr. Vince Ford (ADCI)	Ms. Eileen Sien (ADCI)
Mr. Will Garmer (JMT)	Mr. Greg Solek (MAA)
Mr. Hamid Gazy (MAA)	Ms. Julie Spangler (JMT)
Mr. Scott Harris (JMT)	Mr. Charles Steen (MAA)
Mr. Thomas Hayden (MAA)	Mr. George Steinrock (JMT)
Mr. Robert Henry (ADCI)	Ms. Peggy Summers (Mimar)
Mr. Alex Houseal (TranSystems)	Mr. John Stewart (MAA)
Ms. Tracy Hollida (Baker)	Ms. Madhuri Subramaniam (Baker)
Ms. Kim Hughes (HNTB)	Mr. Simon Taylor (MAA)
Mr. John Hurt (MAA)	Ms. Darline Terrell-Tyson (MAA)
Mr. Cedric Johnson (MAA)	Mr. Jeff Tyley (Parsons)
Mr. John Knowlton (AECOM)	Mr. Tom Varughese (MAA)
Mr. Ken Krach (URS)	Mr. Jim Walsh (MAA)
Mr. Matt Lee (Landrum-Brown)	Mr. Scott Wardle (Baker)
Mr. Bill Lins (MAA)	Mr. Ralign Wells (MAA)
Mr. Ali Logmanni (MAA)	Mr. Mark Williams (MAA)
Mr. Dave Lookenbill (JMT)	

Appendix I: GIS Data Standards

INSERT NEW CHAPTER 8, QUALITY ASSURANCE AND CONTROL OF GIS DATA

Chapter 8: Quality Assurance and Control of GIS Data

8.1 ESRI Data Reviewer

MAA requires that all consultants preparing GIS data and related files for MAA must use ESRI Data Reviewer to ensure data consistency and adherence to the MAA GIS database design as outlined in the GIS Data Standards and its appendices.

8.2 Availability & ESRI Support

Data Reviewer can be purchased directly from ESRI by visiting ESRI's website at <http://www.ESRI.com/software/arcgis/extensions/arcgis-data-reviewer/pricing>. MAA will not reimburse the consultants for the purchase of this software.

Data Reviewer Support is available for registered users directly from ESRI's web site at <http://resources.arcgis.com/en/communities/data-reviewer/>

8.3 System Requirements

Because Data Reviewer runs only inside ArcMap and cannot be run as a stand-alone application, ArcMap must be installed on any computer before Data Reviewer can be installed. Computers running Data Reviewer must therefore meet ArcMap's minimum system requirements, which can be found at <http://desktop.arcgis.com/en/system-requirements/latest/arcgis-desktop-system-requirements.htm>.

8.4 Automated Quality Control Software: ESRI Data Reviewer and Custom ArcToolbox

MAA has established a set of automated quality control tests for file geodatabases that utilize ESRI's Desktop Data Reviewer extension. These batch files, known as ".rbj" files, will be shared with all Consultants to set expectations for data quality. The ".rbj" files will be grouped and shared by feature dataset. The ".rbj" files will be provided at the same time as the geodatabase checkout or replica.

A custom ArcToolbox will also be provided at the same time as the geodatabase checkout or replica. This toolbox automatically creates two feature classes necessary for the Data Reviewer batch files to run. Consultant's Data Editors are expected to run the ArcToolbox and batch files on edited data prior to delivery to MAA. It is suggested that the tests be used early and frequently to ensure data integrity while establishing and executing editing processes, however the only requirement will be prior to delivery to ensure that the data passes the tests.

8.5 Usage

MAA will create all of the baseline tests to be performed on data during editing and make the tests available to the Consultant's Data Editors. The Data Editors will first run the custom ArcToolbox to generate two feature classes and then run the batch files until the data returns a clean report, meaning there are no errors. Both the ArcToolbox and batch files can be run multiple times as the data errors are cleaned up. The data will then be submitted to MAA, at which point the same set of tests will be re-run by MAA to ensure compliance. Any failure noted once data has been delivered to MAA will result in the entire dataset being rejected and returned to the Consultant for corrective action and resubmittal.

8.5.1 Required Tests

Automated quality control tests are developed for each feature class within a feature dataset and are self-documenting. The tests will share basic similarities across similar features, with customizations occurring on checks for logical consistency between attributes.

The following automated checks have been set up for each feature class:

- a. Database Validation Checks - Validates coded value domains to ensure that all values meet domain constraints.
- b. Default Checks - Invalid Geometry Check under Default Checks finds features whose geometry is empty, nothing, or not simple, as well as, features with empty envelopes.
- c. Advanced Checks - Custom checks for logical consistency between attributes that return values that do not conform to custom SQL statements.
- d. Feature on Feature Checks - Evaluates the spatial relationship from the same or two different feature classes.
- e. Duplicate Geometry Checks – Finds features of the same geometry type that are collocated.

8.5.2 Data Acceptance

Data acceptance will be based on data performance using automated tests and visual inspections. Any single failure of edited data will constitute total failure of the data delivery and it will be returned to the Consultant for corrective action and resubmittal.

8.5.3 Exceptions

In cases where features fail a data reviewer check but have a valid exception, The Consultant shall provide MAA with the file geodatabase used for the Data Reviewer session. This geodatabase must have all corrected features removed from the reviewer table and should only contain the exceptions. The Consultant shall include a brief reason that the feature is exempt from the check.

8.6 Visual Quality Control Tests Using Sampling

While automated data review will catch systematic errors, the only way to evaluate data content is through a visual quality control process. Visual quality control processes will ensure that features are stored in correct feature classes, drawings are interpreted correctly, and attributes are correctly populated. Data Reviewer can be used to facilitate this process by selecting a random sampling of features for visual review.

Visual review should be based on the best practices and editing guidelines for a specific feature class.